









Student Name _____

Subject/Teacher _____

Assignment _____

Date _____

ISAAC Rubric 1: Literacy*Student writes effectively for various purposes.**11/2020 Edition*

Criteria	Exemplary	Approaching Exemplary	Proficient	Approaching Proficient	Beginning
Purpose	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates understanding and exceeds expectations of assignment • Articulates an original and powerful thesis/claim • Thoughtful selection of background information enhances purpose • Skillfully addresses audience and anticipates reaction 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates understanding of assignment • Articulates a clear thesis/claim • Establishes purpose with relevant background information • Addresses audience with appropriate tone and communication strategy 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • May misinterpret elements of assignment • Thesis/claim may be missing or unclear • Background information is lacking or irrelevant and may not establish purpose • Little evidence of audience awareness or communication strategy
Evidence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ample evidence to support thesis/claim • Well selected, seamlessly integrated evidence • Correct citations used throughout 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sufficient evidence to support thesis/claim • Consistent use of relevant evidence • Minimal errors in citations 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insufficient evidence to support thesis/claim • May include irrelevant evidence • Several errors in or absence of citations
Analysis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seamlessly integrates fact and opinion to support thesis/claim • Makes insightful conclusions and interpretations of evidence that consistently support thesis/claim • Synthesizes prior knowledge with provided evidence to demonstrate critical thinking 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrates fact and opinion appropriately • Reasonable conclusions and interpretations of evidence consistently support thesis/claim • Appropriately uses prior knowledge to make connections 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • May confuse fact and opinion • Conclusions and interpretations of evidence are lacking or irrelevant to thesis/claim • Lacks connections made to prior knowledge
Revising and Editing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Skillful use of transitions resulting in a fluent, coherent, and unified structure • Error-free use of convention and mechanics • Strong evidence of change from draft to final product based on reflection/feedback 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consistent use of transitions and organizational components • Minimal errors in grammar, spelling, syntax, punctuation, vocabulary, format • Some evidence of change from draft to final product based on reflection/feedback 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • May lack transitions and/or contain unclear progression of ideas • Errors in grammar, spelling, syntax, punctuation, vocabulary, format are common throughout • No evidence of change from draft to final product

Additional Comments: